# MINUTES OF MEETING REMINGTON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the of Supervisors of the Remington Community Development District was held on Tuesday, October 30, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. at the Remington Recreation Center, 2651 Remington Boulevard, Kissimmee, Florida.

# Present and constituting a quorum were:

Brian (Ken) Brown
Sal Perillo
Vice Chairman
Pam Zaresk
Carl Thilburg
Kenneth Soukup
Assistant Secretary
Assistant Secretary
Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Jason ShoweDistrict ManagerScott ClarkDistrict CounselMark VincutonisDistrict EngineerAlan ScheererField Manager

Eric Luciano Universal Protection Service

Residents

#### FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### Roll Call

Mr. Brown called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and Mr. Showe called the roll. All Supervisors were present.

#### SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Modifications to Agenda

Mr. Showe: We have none.

# THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### **Public Comment Period**

Mr. Brown: If you have any comments, please raise your hand, provide your name and address. You will have three minutes.

Mr. Showler: Phil Showler, 2512 Balmoral Drive. I'm just checking on the status of the speed bump and speed limit sign on Southampton Drive. I brought this up a couple of months ago.

Mr. Brown: Yes. We asked the County what we could change.

Mr. Vincutonis: We obtained prices for similar humps to what you have out there now. The prices were very high, so I have some prices tonight for rubber humps.

Mr. Perillo: Are we going to discuss it now?

Mr. Showe: We will discuss it under the District Engineer's Report. I think we were talking about doing a study too.

Mr. Brown: We left it up in the air.

Mr. Showler: Is there any thought to doing two speed limit signs and speed humps?

Mr. Brown: I haven't talked about that.

Mr. Perillo: I thought about it. In my opinion, if they are running down the road and you post a speed limit sign for 25 mph instead of 30 mph, it's not going to make a difference. What's going to make a difference is the speed bump.

Mr. Showler: I agree. It has definitely not improved since I lived there. It's gotten worse with speeders because it's a straight road down Southampton Drive until it goes into the curb and drivers take advantage of it. There are always kids at the end of Southampton Drive.

Mr. Soukup: If you are walking across the intersection to get to the mailbox, you could get hit by someone coming around that curve. I almost got hit.

Ms. Bowles: Chassidy Bowles, 116 Westmoreland Circle. I brought up at last month's meeting about prohibiting street parking. I think this is something that should be considered. I have several friends who live in communities in St. Cloud where exceptions are made. Depending on what the Board wants to do, you can get tags for your guests by telling security the date of the event and the number of guests. My friend said for the first month it was a headache, but it completely eliminated street parking. Someone almost died from emergency vehicles not being able to get down their road. I have pictures of cars on my street. The same people are continuously parking. I'm constantly calling security and nothing is ever getting done. They say that the cars belong to the people who live there. To go along with that, maybe the CDD should consider giving the HOA the ability to require homeowners to have barcodes for all of their cars. Security can write down the make and model of the car and license plate of anybody who comes through that guest line. That is what they are supposed to do now anyway. If they see a car coming through several weeks in a row, they should say, "You have until this date to get a barcode on your car," so we know what address they are going to and who owns that home. If it's a renter, we would work with the homeowner to get them a barcode. That will eliminate people saying, "That's a guest," when it's actually the renter who is parking on the street because they only have a two-car

garage and can only fit so many cars in the garage. If we eliminate the parking altogether that would cut down on all of that. I think either action would be a good way to eliminate what's going on right now because the ticket situation doesn't seem to be eliminating the problem, as far as I can see when I drive around. You have to weave in and out and somebody is going to end up getting in an accident. It's a mess.

Mr. Brown: What neighborhood do they have the no parking rule?

Ms. Bowles: It's in St. Cloud. I forget the name of it. It's a newer neighborhood. She's lived there for two years. They don't have a CDD. They have an HOA. Their HOA just eliminated street parking. She said that it's working out just fine, so I asked her if people were parking on the grass. She said there were a couple at first, but they received violation letters, which eliminated parking on the grass.

Mr. Brown: If you can get the name of the community, we can get their documents. I would like to see the layout of the neighborhood and how well that works.

Ms. Bowles: She used to live in Remington and I was telling her how bad the parking was here.

Ms. Zaresk: For clarification, when you are talking about barcodes, only owners can get those.

Ms. Bowles: Renters can get barcodes by showing a copy of their lease.

Ms. Zaresk: So your proposal is that renters not be allowed to have barcodes?

Ms. Bowles: No, they need barcodes, but they will not get them. Their landlords need to get their renters barcodes because under the HOA, you can't drive someone home, but you can borrow their car.

Mr. Showe: We can't enforce that because these are public roads.

Mr. Perillo: We were talking about changing the speed limits from 30 mph to 25 mph and the County said, "We don't care what you do. They are your roads." So why don't we look into eliminate parking on all streets? Those are our roads.

Mr. Showe: Those are two separate issues. As a Board, you can approve a no street parking policy.

Mr. Perillo: So we don't need the County to tell us we can't?

Mr. Showe: They are your roads, but they are public roads, so you cannot deny access.

Mr. Perillo: Let's talk about one thing at a time, starting with no street parking. I would eliminate it for maybe a year and you will see how fast they stop parking in the street. It's that

simple. We've been beating this issue to death for six or seven years and have not done anything. It's about time that we did.

Mr. Brown: It's not that simple because two other neighborhoods in this County instituted no parking on the street and their home values decreased because people were unable to rent their homes.

Mr. Perillo: The market is going up right now, so it doesn't matter. Let's not make more excuses. Let's do something about it. It's been going on and on by the same people. The first time that they are out there, they are towed and you will see how fast it stops.

Mr. Showe: That is against Florida Statutes. We have to provide a warning before we tow.

Mr. Perillo: Why?

Mr. Showe: It's in accordance with Florida Statutes.

Mr. Perillo: I want to see a document that says exactly that.

Mr. Showe: We can provide you the Florida Statutes.

Mr. Perillo: Then I will check it out with the County and the police department to see what they say.

Mr. Showe: It's not the County and the police department. The Florida Statutes govern public towing.

Mr. Perillo: I will go into all of the detail on the side that I have to investigate. Get me the data.

Mr. Clark: I will provide that information.

Mr. Perillo: Thank you. It's about time we address it.

Mr. Brown: Diego, before you start, I want to apologize for being rude to you last month. I don't know why I was so worked up, but I'm sorry.

Mr. Benson-Valdes: Diego Benson-Valdes, 507 Berry James Court. I am bringing up the parking issue again, particularly special events. In your agreement, it says excessive parking is more than one special event. Also, they are parking on both sides of the street and emergency vehicles cannot get through. I'm sure that is a violation.

Mr. Brown: Yes. The County can enforce that.

Mr. Scheerer: They should notify local law enforcement.

Mr. Benson-Valdes: The CDD is responsible if there is a delay in an emergency vehicle reaching the victim. I have pictures. What I'm here about is people parking in the street and telling the security guard that they are having a special event. That's still occurring.

Mr. Scheerer: Security is going to have to answer that question.

Mr. Brown: Did you send the pictures to the management company?

Mr. Benson-Valdes: No. I have cameras at my house that takes pictures of everything. The security company can also take pictures. They are called nightly by myself and other individuals around our area, who do not violate this agreement, but are a victim of those individuals who are doing it. So, if it's repeatedly brought to this Board, my question to this Board is do I have to tell Fred Hawkins or Don Fisher about this? Obviously, it's not being handled or it's being handled inappropriately.

Mr. Brown: I drove down your street at least three times since then and only saw one car parked on the street. I didn't see a multitude of cars parked on the street. I don't know what security sees when they go down there. Last time, we asked them to drive down your street to make sure that's not happening because what I heard was that you also couldn't back out.

Mr. Benson-Valdes: I can't. People are also blocking mailboxes. It is my understanding that is a violation because postmen cannot put mail in there and people can't reach them. If I hit somebody, it's not going to be my fault. I keep asking people not to park directly behind our driveways.

Mr. Scheerer: Is it typically happening during the day?

Mr. Benson-Valdes: No.

Mr. Scheerer: Is it after 6:00 p.m. when the roadway patrol is here?

Mr. Benson-Valdes: Yes.

Mr. Scheerer: We don't have patrols every day of the week during daylight hours. I will have Eric speak to what is going on that street on behalf of security.

Mr. Benson-Valdes: It's not only that street. It's also near Amanda Kay Way. I'm sure that's in other communities as well.

Mr. Brown: I come out and drive through occasionally. I don't drive through every neighborhood every day. I see cars, but I don't see lines of cars on both sides all the time. At night when somebody has a party, I will see a row of cars, but it's not something I see constantly, like every single day.

Mr. Benson-Valdes: One individual said, "I own this house and this is my area."

Mr. Brown: The person that parks there?

Mr. Benson-Valdes: Yes.

Mr. Scheerer: The Board has had this conversation several times. If they are blocking the road, security or the homeowner can call the non-emergency number to the Sheriff's Office and the Sheriff's Office can come out, assess the situation and issue a ticket, if they see fit. We don't have police powers.

Mr. Showe: Correct.

Mr. Scheerer: Security can call the non-emergency number at the Sheriff's Office and so can any homeowner. It's been a topic of discussion for many years since the parking resolution was adopted on how to handle cars blocking both sides of the road.

Mr. Perillo: The problem is that every month, homeowners are out here complaining about the same thing, month after month. We look at the rule and don't do anything, so let's get the document from the state and get legal opinions about whether we can change the law to eliminate parking on the street. That will be the end of it and we can just tow those clowns that are on the street. You will see how fast it will stop.

Ms. Zaresk: I know I asked last month, but are you part of the Master HOA?

Mr. Benson-Valdes: Yes.

Ms. Zaresk: In the Master HOA, is there any penalty or fine or anything against owners who violate covenants and/or?

Mr. Benson-Valdes: The Board President was here and said no.

Ms. Bowles: We are going to put signs up about no parking in the streets and on the grass and issue fines. I don't know if we bought the signs yet or not, but that is the plan.

Ms. Zaresk: Great.

Mr. Hurley: Larry Hurley, 2616 Keswick Court. Some of our documents are outdated. We found in our legal review, our HOA documents need to be amended to allow us the right to fine people who park on the grass and the road. We are not going to have signs made until we get the legal document finished. It's been going back and forth between us and the lawyer.

Ms. Zaresk: I understand.

Mr. Hurley: We were hoping for it to be completed by the end of the year, but I'm not sure we will make that deadline. Those signs will go up once the documents are approved.

Ms. Zaresk: I will keep that in my mind because I like Chassidy's idea about having some recourse under your HOA covenants, if a renter is involved.

Mr. Hurley: Only if they park on the grass. The documents already say that they can't park anywhere but in the garage or on the driveway. It doesn't say much about parking on the grass.

Ms. Zaresk: But this gentleman has a problem with people parking in the street and not on the grass.

Mr. Hurley: The HOA can't do anything about street parking. That's the responsibility of the CDD.

Mr. Soukup: The CDD and the Osceola County Sheriff.

Mr. Brown: Yes. If they are blocking the road, the Sheriff's Department can do something about it.

Mr. Soukup: If they are parked in your driveway, call the sheriff.

Mr. Benson-Valdes: Not the driveway. They park across from the driveway, which limits the turnaround space.

Mr. Scheerer: That's blocking the driveway.

Mr. Soukup: You are allowed two lanes out of your driveway in order to make your vehicle turn.

Mr. Brown: Is there any way that you can send us the pictures so we can see how many people are parking there every day, especially on different days.

Mr. Benson-Valdes: I think what I suggested last month, was for security to keep track of how many events a homeowner has. If the limit is once a week, it should be once a week.

Mr. Brown: I think the problem was we never really defined an event, for example, if someone's daughter comes over every day and drops off dinner.

Mr. Showe: It says, "Street parking for social gatherings at a residence shall be deemed excessive if it occurs more frequently than once a week."

Mr. Benson-Valdes: Yes. I brought up that specific verbiage.

Mr. Brown: Our problem is we never defined "gathering."

Mr. Showe: Correct. It also doesn't define if somebody is a visitor.

Mr. Brown: If a house has five or six cars parked in front of it, they are probably having an event, but if someone is coming over for 30 minutes to do whatever, it may not be an event. Maybe we need to define it better.

Mr. Perillo: The same cars are doing it all the time, so that isn't an event. The people who live there, don't care what the law says. They are breaking the law. No matter what you put on

paper, the only thing they are going to understand is getting their car towed. That is the only thing that they will understand.

Mr. Benson-Valdes: The agreement says owners should not park in the streets more than 30 minutes. Security told me they can't validate that.

Mr. Showe: The only cars that get the visitor exception are the ones called in, so if it's not called into security, it is an owner, essentially.

Mr. Scheerer: We should know that. Security should know that.

Mr. Benson-Valdes: I'm quoting what I was told.

Mr. Perillo: Some of the people are renters. When they sign the lease to rent a house, does the homeowner give them all of this information? Maybe they don't.

Ms. Zaresk: I can almost guarantee that they don't.

Mr. Showe: That's also the purpose of giving them a warning first, so if they don't know what the rules are, you give them the warning and it informs them. If they have questions, they will call our office and we will explain the process to them.

Mr. Brown: You also have a parking lot for guest parking.

Ms. Zaresk: Yes, at the pool.

Mr. Perillo: One sign says you can't park there. They just put that one up. There are about four parking spots for the entire development. They still park on the street. Now they are talking about not parking on the apron.

Mr. Hurley: I want to thank the CDD for supporting National Night Out. We had great weather, a good crowd, good music and I think everyone had a good time. I want to thank the Board Members who cooked. We had more cooks than in the past, so the burgers were done quickly. Tim had a warming machine, which kept the food warm so there was no problem with keeping up with the crowd. I don't think there was a line longer than one or two people at any point to get food, which is the biggest success that we had yet with service. I want to thank the CDD Board for their support and for use of the parking lot and electricity. You will see an article in the November issue of the newsletter. Thanks again and I look forward to doing it again next year.

Ms. Zaresk: It was fun. Good job.

Mr. Mehrlich: Tim Mehrlich, 145 Westmoreland Circle. Since you put the cameras at the gate by the golf course, the clicker is not working anymore. The security guard said 50% of them work and 50% don't. Are you guys aware of that?

Mr. Scheerer: Yes. I received several calls on that. We had the gate company come out, but they found no issues with the actual device.

Mr. Mehrlich: I got my device in 1997. I have two of them. Both of them work, but I have two that I programmed with the code, which don't work.

Mr. Scheerer: I don't know because all we did was change the camera. We didn't run cables, wires or anything. We just dismounted them and put new ones on. Our office received several calls. We had ACT come out and go through both gate systems, but didn't find any issues with them. I will ask them to come back out. They brought three brand new remotes and tried them from different angles. The only thing that they did do was move the antenna that may have been a problem. They lowered it so nothing obstructed it.

Mr. Mehrlich: They used to turn on as soon as I made a left-hand turn. Maybe I can get the number for ACT.

Mr. Perillo: Is that a handheld unit?

Mr. Mehrlich: Yes.

Mr. Perillo: When was the last time you changed the battery?

Mr. Mehrlich: I changed it to a brand-new battery to find out.

Mr. Scheerer: We advised everyone to use fresh batteries. I can try to set up another call and have ACT come out here or you can just give me your clicker. I might be able to get them here on Friday morning when I'm out here.

Mr. Perillo: It might be that antenna, because I had to get up almost up to the gate and hit it before the gate finally went up.

Mr. Scheerer: I will try to set something up for Friday morning.

Mr. Mehrlich: Can I reach out to you?

Mr. Scheerer: Of course.

Mr. Mehrlich: What is your phone number?

Mr. Scheerer: I will give it to you after the meeting.

Mr. Brown: Is there anyone else? Hearing none,

#### FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

# Approval of Minutes of the September 25, 2018 Meeting

Mr. Brown: Does anyone have any changes, additions or deletions to the minutes of the September 25, 2018 meeting? If not, we need a motion to approve.

Mr. Perillo: I have one. At the last meeting, we talked about the road to the maintenance area. We said that we would send them a letter. Did anybody send the letter? It's on Page 2. Yesterday, I almost had a heart attack, because the gate was locked all day, from morning until night. This morning they locked it again. Prior to this, for the entire month, they don't lock it. That's why I'm asking if we sent them a letter. We were supposed to.

Mr. Showe: I'm not sure if a formal letter was sent.

Ms. Zaresk: I thought we sent them a letter when you first brought it up.

Mr. Showe: We did.

Ms. Zaresk: We were going to make a phone call to remind them.

Mr. Perillo: On Page 2, Mr. Brown said, "We will send them a letter."

Mr. Brown: If anyone sent one, it would've been Leigh Ann.

Mr. Perillo: I know, that's why I'm asking. I think if we send them a letter, there has to be some consequences if they don't lock it. Maybe we should send the letter and tell them "These are the consequences if you don't abide by those laws." There's one other thing. On Page 21, the next to the last paragraph says, "First, they get a warning, then a ticket and the third time they get towed." It should be "the second time they get towed."

Mr. Brown: Are there any other changes?

Mr. Thilburg: Not a change, but Brian and I talked to the head of golf club maintenance at the Remington Club. I informed him that he needs to let the maintenance people know that they are required to lock the maintenance gate. I noticed that it was wide open for the last two weeks.

Mr. Clark: If the Board wanted the letter to be sent by our office, I'm not aware that Leigh Ann sent it. She departed a couple of weeks ago and we may have lost some of that communication. I can check and if the Board wants us to send the letter, we are certainly willing to do that.

On MOTION by Mr. Thilburg seconded by Ms. Zaresk with all in favor the Minutes of the September 25, 2018 Meeting were approved, as amended.

Mr. Perillo: Regarding the maintenance gate, the other night, my wife and I were walking and we came back and locked the gate. When you close the gate, it has a clamp lock. While I was standing there, a young lady drove up to the gate, got out of the car and looked at the gate. She

got back in the car and there was a gentleman with her. I wondered what she was doing there. I think we really have to get that gate straightened out and have them lock the gate every night.

Mr. Brown: Did the original letter we sent them meet the requirement of the original Development Plan?

Mr. Showe: Yes. We will get that over to Scott.

Mr. Clark: I'm familiar with the Development Plan.

Mr. Brown: I know they had some code issues in the past month and we had two code issues with that gate, so that might have spurred it being locked.

Mr. Perillo: The maintenance gate has no code. They used to have a lock on it.

Mr. Scheerer: I'm talking about Code Enforcement.

Mr. Brown: Not a gate code.

Mr. Perillo: I never dealt with the County code in all the years I have been here and I have lived here since 2001. They used to have a big lock.

Mr. Brown: Not a code.

Mr. Perillo: There was an agreement originally written between the CDD and the golf course, which has to be enforced.

#### FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2019-01 Budget Amendment

Mr. Brown: Is it 2019-01?

Mr. Showe: Yes. You are in Fiscal Year 2019. We do this every year. This just cleans up your General and Capital Projects Funds. We did some capital project work in advance of next year's budget, such as the cameras, so we went over budget in the Capital Projects Fund and received additional revenues in the General Fund with your assessments. This just balances your budget. We are required to do this pursuant to the audit in advance of December, so we just went ahead and had our accounting staff do this. This cleans up account lines for budgeting purposes.

On MOTION by Ms. Zaresk seconded by Mr. Thilburg with all in favor adopting Resolution 2019-01 Amending the Budget was approved.

#### SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Discussion Items** 

#### A. Off Duty Sheriff Speed Enforcement

Mr. Showe: Per the Board's request, we obtained a proposal for speed enforcement for off-duty officers. It is \$39 per hour per a four-hour minimum. It is up to the Board on whether you want to proceed with that.

Mr. Brown: Maybe that's the opportunity we need to stop people from parking side by side because they do that when they are here.

Mr. Perillo: I thought they were going to come in because people were speeding down the main road. We also talked about putting speed bumps in. That's why I thought we were talking about bringing the police in.

Mr. Brown: It was, but we can use them for anything.

Mr. Showe: Absolutely, you can direct them on what you want them to target. We can even have them monitor the speeding for two hours, drive around and look for access issues and street blockages for another two hours.

Mr. Perillo: Yes, but we have to talk to them and make it mandatory if someone is parked, to give them a ticket. If someone is speeding they also have to give a ticket, because in the past, they gave out warnings.

Mr. Showe: I can tell you based on experience, you cannot dictate that they give out tickets.

Mr. Perillo: Then I think we are wasting our money.

Ms. Zaresk: I disagree that we are wasting our money. I think it's a great idea and we should have a law enforcement officer onsite as often as we can with direction from us on what we want them looking for. We know speeding is an issue and cars blocking streets and driveways are an issue, so I don't think it's a bad idea. I guess the only thing I'm struggling with is if we have a sense of when, how often, and how we get a handle on the best time to hire these folks. I assume that 2:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. is the optimum time. I'm asking everybody else how to figure out when we want them here.

Mr. Perillo: I agree 100%, but the only problem is there will be speeding as soon as the cops aren't around. My opinion is the only thing to stop the speeding on Remington Boulevard are permanent speed bumps, not the plastic ones. If you put in a speed bump, it's permanent and the problem is resolved. If you want to have the police come in, they will take care of the problem when they are here, but when they leave you will have the same problem because you won't have any permanent mechanisms to stop people from speeding. In my opinion, let's talk about how much it's going to cost to put these speed bumps in, like on Remington Boulevard, so that the problem disappears permanently.

Mr. Soukup: Which days are we looking at? Could we have them three or four days for six hours a day? That's going to cover the highest activity time, whether they enforce the speeders or the parking. I think that's what we need to decide.

Mr. Showe: In another community, they actually come out twice a month, once in the morning when people leave to go to work and once in the evening when people come home. That's where they target the speeders.

Mr. Perillo: But if that doesn't resolve the problem permanently, the money we are spending on the police could go for the speed bumps.

Ms. Zaresk: It's \$156 for each shift, which are four hour increments.

Mr. Brown: Do we have a specific budget item?

Mr. Showe: We don't have a specific budget item for this, but you have room in your budget.

Mr. Perillo: We should have them for three days.

Mr. Soukup: Do you have random or specific days of the week?

Mr. Showe: We can just choose random days. That way the Board is not advertising and has a set schedule. You can give me the authority to randomly pick the days and a certain number of hours per month. You send them the times you want them to come out and post it and somebody will take that shift.

Mr. Scheerer: They were successfully catching morning speeders at the Knightsbridge/Remington Boulevard area. We have to get that time frame. Berry James and Westmoreland are also having speeding issues. Maybe we can have something later in the evening, maybe 5:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. or 8:00 p.m.

Ms. Zaresk: I don't disagree with you, Sal, but there are people speeding in between the speed bumps.

Mr. Perillo: I know.

Mr. Scheerer: They pass me every day.

Ms. Zaresk: It's nice that the speed bumps are there and I understand that you think that's a permanent solution, but my sense is the speed bumps are a deterrent and the police being here would be another deterrent. I like the flexibility of the police being a deterrent, because if someone is blocking the road or driveway, they can issue a ticket. They don't necessarily have to enforce just the speeding.

Mr. Perillo: You have to get a price for them to roam the neighborhood. We only have a price to enforce speeding.

Mr. Showe: No. It's for an off-duty police officer. You can direct them. If you tell them to focus on speeding, they will focus on speeding, but they will still drive through the neighborhood.

Mr. Perillo: There's no guarantee that they are going to give a ticket.

Mr. Showe: That is correct. We can't force them to write tickets. They are the authority to determine whether or not they are going to write tickets.

Mr. Scheerer: Getting pulled over will scare them.

Mr. Perillo: Yes, but as soon as they disappear, people will be doing the same thing again. We found that out years ago with Remington Boulevard, which is why we put a speed bump in.

Mr. Showe: You get a report of those shifts, so if you want to try it out, we can bring the reports and you can see what they did. If you want to go further, you can. For \$160 a shift, you are not losing a lot of money just to try it out.

Mr. Thilburg: I would like to see them have three shifts a month.

Mr. Brown: That sounds good.

Mr. Thilburg: To see what kind of data we get.

Mr. Perillo: So are we talking about three shifts a month?

Mr. Clark: I think he said three a week or one a month.

Mr. Showe: That's 12 shifts.

Mr. Perillo: So 12 times \$100 is \$1,200.

Mr. Thilburg: Permanent speed bumps cost \$17,000.

Mr. Perillo: You're wasting \$1,200 versus \$4,200 to put in a permanent speed bump.

Mr. Thilburg: I would like to see the data so we have a better feel.

Mr. Showe: We need a motion for 12 shifts in the first month and we will bring reports back when they are completed and the Board can determine how to proceed.

Mr. Brown: Yes. They should enforce speeding.

Mr. Showe: And access issues.

On MOTION by Mr. Thilburg seconded by Ms. Zaresk with Mr. Soukup, Mr. Brown, Mr. Thilburg and Ms. Zaresk in favor and Mr. Perillo dissenting having 12 shifts of an off-duty Sheriff for speed enforcement for one month was approved. (Motion Passed 4-1)

Mr. Perillo: I disagree because one speed bump costs \$4,200 and we were supposed to pay for half.

Mr. Showe: We will coordinate it.

Mr. Brown: I don't know how Mark feels, but Shawn always says the only thing that stops speeding is issuing tickets. Speed humps are just a deterrent, but if they write enough tickets, the speeding stops.

Mr. Soukup: The people who are getting them will remember they received one and will think twice, but not always.

Ms. Zaresk: Especially if they had them elsewhere and all of a sudden that ticket results in a higher fine because of previous violations.

Mr. Perillo: Are you going to get me all of the documentation so we can investigate?

Mr. Showe: Yes.

# B. Request for Food Drive at Recreation Center

Mr. Showe: We received a request and I think Larry received the same request from Ms. Spirito. I thought she was going to be here tonight. She would like to use this Recreation Center on the three days listed on this brochure to collect food. She would come on December 1<sup>st</sup> for three hours, collect food and then take it with her. She was just looking for permission if the Board was amenable to the request.

Mr. Scheerer: Is she a resident?

Mr. Showe: Yes.

Mr. Scheerer: So access isn't an issue.

Mr. Brown: I have no problem with that.

Mr. Hurley: As long as she gets permission to use the Rec Center in that fashion, it doesn't matter what time.

Mr. Showe: Yes.

Mr. Perillo: Of course we are going to tell them that they have to clean up before they leave.

Mr. Showe: Absolutely.

Mr. Brown: Make sure that she isn't conflicting with somebody who already rented it for one of those days.

Mr. Scheerer: If not, we can add it to the rental list. Right, Jason?

Mr. Showe: Yes. If the Board approves it, we will put it on there.

On MOTION by Ms. Zaresk seconded by Mr. Soukup with all in favor the request from Elizabeth Spirito for a food drive at the Recreation Center was approved.

#### SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### **Staff Reports**

#### A. Attorney

Mr. Clark: I am Scott Clark. I have been Counsel for the District since its inception in 1994. Leigh Ann received an offer that she couldn't refuse, so she left me. I stayed in touch with what's going on in the District and she and I talk frequently, so I don't think that I need to get up to speed. I look forward to meeting the three of you who I haven't met before, afterwards and working with you and helping any way I can. Aside from that I don't have anything.

Mr. Brown: Did you have trouble figuring out how to get in here tonight?

Mr. Clark: I got a heads up from Jason, but I had trouble with the amount of new traffic in the two years or so since I have been here. It took an hour-and-a-half from Winter Park to get here.

# B. Engineer

Mr. Brown: Mark?

Mr. Vincutonis: Good evening. Regarding the speed bumps, last month I brought to the Board, two bids for two permanent asphalt concrete paver speed humps, signage and regulated striping on Southampton Drive. The lowest price was \$21,561 for the two humps. The other one was \$40,000. The Board requested that I look at some cheaper alternatives, such as rubber high density polyethylene humps, like you saw at the County Courthouse and some other places. I went back to All Terrain and they provided a price of \$21,630 for two rubber humps. They have two options. There is the Traffic Logix recycled rubber speed hump, which is the crème de la crème of humps. They are longer and have a more of a rounded profile. I also found a 36-inch-wide one that is a little cheaper; however, they wanted to only provide a price for the Traffic Logix recycled rubber speed hump. I also went back to Camcor and they provided a price for both options; \$30,300 for two Traffic Logix rubber speed humps and \$27,200 for the cheaper option.

Mr. Perillo: Which was the cheaper option; a roll or flat top?

Mr. Vincutonis: A roll top. As you travel over it, it is just over 3 feet and just under 3 inches high.

Mr. Perillo: Is that the one by Remington Boulevard?

Mr. Vincutonis: They are smaller, but not as small as what you maybe had on Knightsbridge Boulevard or what you might see behind Publix in the truck loop. These are regulated to 10 to 15 mph, which I thought would be the limit you wanted to go. I also reached out to Fausnight. They came in with much better pricing for both options. The first option was \$9,825, which is considerably cheaper. We ran into the issue at ChampionsGate.

Mr. Scheerer: Is that for two?

Mr. Vincutonis: It's for two.

Mr. Scheerer: Is it for all the striping and signage?

Mr. Vincutonis: It includes the signage, but since these are prefab with the color already built into them, I told them to remove the regulated striping. If we want to add that back in, we can, but since it's a residential street, you are going to have striping in front of people's houses. So, it's just under \$10,000 for that. The second option, which was the smaller hump, would be custom, but they did provide a price to install them. It wasn't as cheap as I thought. It was \$9,740, for a \$100 difference.

Mr. Brown: To not provide them.

Mr. Vincutonis: Not provide them, but they would install them. If you go online, it's \$1,600 each for a 20-foot-long speed hump.

Mr. Perillo: Weren't we looking for five areas to install them?

Mr. Brown: No, we were talking about one street.

Mr. Scheerer: The Southampton Drive entrance.

Mr. Vincutonis: That might have been the Speed Study. We received a recommendation from the Traffic Engineer last month for five.

Mr. Perillo: I thought there were five in total.

Mr. Vincutonis: They specified five locations. I think that's what they priced and they had a per diem amount for additional spots.

Mr. Perillo: If we are looking at five total from the study, we should get a bid on a total of five, whether we want to go with five or two.

Mr. Vincutonis: The five were in a Speed Study, to see what the speed count is at the 80<sup>th</sup> percentile.

Mr. Perillo: I know, but we specified five locations for the Speed Study. Right?

Mr. Vincutonis: I think there were two on the Boulevard, one on Knightsbridge and the Board would select two other locations.

Mr. Perillo: So we are possibly looking at five.

Mr. Vincutonis: Lowering the speed limit was a separate issue.

Mr. Perillo: Before we decide on the cost, let's define how many we want, because it's too narrow and there may only be room for four. Instead of paying \$7,000, we may only need to spend \$4,000 for each one.

Ms. Zaresk: The five spots are not where we are saying to put speed bumps. The five spots were where we designated doing the study.

Mr. Perillo: To test the speeding.

Mr. Showe: When people drive over them, it's going to register how fast they are going. The challenge with the Speed Study, and I think Mark will tell you, is that it may not indicate that your speed limit should go down.

Mr. Vincutonis: You are going to want to target the 80<sup>th</sup> percentile or the median speeders in that 10 mph zone. Going from 30 mph to 25 mph, you are still at the low end of the spectrum. I think you are going to find most people going 30 mph.

Mr. Perillo: Going from 30 mph to 25 mph is a joke. People are going to do whatever they want to do. Spending money just to see if people are going from 30 mph to 25 mph, is a waste of money. If we are looking at possibly putting a speed bump in, then I would wait and when we get a final result on how many we need and get a new quote.

Mr. Brown: We weren't looking at those places to put speed humps. We were looking at places to get a good idea of the speed people were going average for the Speed Study. We weren't putting them specifically where we wanted to.

Mr. Perillo: So what purpose were we doing it for?

Mr. Brown: To lower the speed limit.

Mr. Perillo: Why would we want to lower the speed limit? People are going to drive at whatever speed they want anyway. We have trouble now with the 30 mph speed limit and you want to make it 25 mph.

Mr. Brown: That's why we didn't do it.

Mr. Perillo: Oh okay.

Mr. Showe: We tabled that.

Mr. Perillo: I want it clear that the purpose was not to have additional speed bumps.

Mr. Brown: Correct. Before we do the Speed Study, we probably should come up with a clear definition of where to potentially put the speed humps, because people are going to ask why

we are putting one in front of their house. So there needs to be some indication of why we would put something there, rather than just willy-nilly putting them everywhere.

Mr. Vincutonis: You are right. If we put one in on one street, someone from another neighborhood is going to complain that there is speeding by their house. If the residents are complaining about speeding, I think it's up to you to say, "Go put one in."

Mr. Perillo: Where have the complaints come from?

Mr. Vincutonis: Southampton Drive.

Mr. Perillo: So let's address Southampton Drive.

Ms. Zaresk: That's what the plan was.

Mr. Brown: But they haven't only come from Southampton Drive. I believe that Wanda had complaints from another road.

Mr. Boucher: Stonewyk Way. It's twice as bad now because we get all of the Windsor traffic. They don't slow down at all.

Mr. Perillo: You are getting the study because of the sinking sewer system. Has it gone up since the sewer sank?

Ms. Boucher: No, it's always been bad. It has gone up some. We get all of the Windsor traffic because there's no way for Windsor residents to get out unless they come through our neighborhood. They don't slow down for kids.

Mr. Brown: I agree. If we are doing it here, we should look at that road too because that is a long stretch of road.

Mr. Showe: Perhaps we should have the District Engineer identify if there's a certain length of road that needs a speed hump. That way, you have a standard, so if someone calls to question it, we have a standard of where we put them.

Ms. Zaresk: That's a good idea.

Mr. Perillo: I think we should table the study until they get this sewer fixed and then see what's happening in the neighborhoods because you have traffic running through everybody's neighborhood now.

Mr. Scheerer: We just approved 12 visits by law enforcement and we can wait to see what the results are from that.

Mr. Showe: Maybe we can let the District Engineer look at a map and identify specific areas.

Mr. Thilburg: That's a great idea.

Mr. Showe: That could be a source.

Mr. Thilburg: It could be our standard.

Mr. Showe: You are getting advice from an expert in the field versus just taking complaints and determining where to put it based on that.

Mr. Brown: You can ask for them, during those 12 times, to go back in the neighborhoods off of Knightsbridge.

Mr. Showe: Yes. You will also get a report of where they issued warnings or tickets and you will know where those locations are. We will ask them to rotate through different spots. We will have them do an hour here and an hour there and just move around.

Mr. Brown: Okay.

Mr. Vincutonis: At the end of the day, for any given location, you are spending just under \$5,000 to put in a hump with signage. I'm estimating that the distance is 500 feet, so if you get a straight section of road that is greater than 500 feet, that's probably where you may have an increase in speeding.

Mr. Showe: Just take a look at a map and find spots that you think would be good for it and then we will take it from there.

# C. District Manager's Report

## 1. Approval of Check Register

Mr. Showe: In the General Fund we have Checks #5742 through #5765 for \$76,979.84. From the Capital Projects Fund we have Checks #52 through #55 for \$73,234 and September payroll for \$918.80, for a total of \$151,132.64. Alan and I can answer any questions or comments.

Mr. Perillo: I have a question about the mechanical sweep guy, which I brought up last month. Again, he's not coming in here every two weeks. He's coming in every three weeks. That was last month and this month also. Do we have a contract saying that he's supposed to here four times?

Mr. Scheerer: They are supposed to be here twice a month.

Mr. Brown: Can he put his date of service on his invoices?

Mr. Scheerer: Yes.

Mr. Perillo: He's billing us three weeks apart. He did that last month too and didn't show up the rest of the month.

Mr. Brown: He doesn't always bill when he's doing it.

Mr. Perillo: Last month, he was here twice and twice this month. If he was sweeping four times each month he didn't bill us for it. Right now, with all the stuff that's coming out of the trees, it would be good to get him here every two weeks.

Mr. Scheerer: He is here every two weeks.

Mr. Thilburg: So he can put on the description that he swept on a specific date.

Mr. Showe: I think the date that's there is the date of service.

Mr. Brown: Is that the date he sent the invoice?

Mr. Perillo: No.

Mr. Showe: The date of the invoice is 9/14 and we cut the check on 9/18.

Mr. Thilburg: What's the other date?

Mr. Showe: 9/13 is likely the date he swept.

Mr. Scheerer: Mechanical sweep 9/13 is the day he was here. Like Jason said, I think 9/18 is when the check was cut.

Mr. Showe: Yes. 9/14 is the date on the invoice that they sent.

Mr. Scheerer: Correct.

Mr. Perillo: It says "Mechanical sweep" and the date.

Mr. Showe: The date next to it is the date he swept.

Mr. Scheerer: The other one is 10/4.

Mr. Perillo: If he wants to come every two weeks, that's fine with me, but right now we are getting a lot of leaves falling from trees. It would nice to make sure he's cleaning up every two weeks.

Mr. Scheerer: He is.

Mr. Perillo: If during the summer, he wants to sweep every three weeks, great. We can save money.

Mr. Brown: Can he come on Mondays or Thursdays?

Mr. Scheerer: I can ask him. I don't know when Pam saw him, but I see him here on Fridays sweeping in the morning.

Mr. Brown: The only reason I ask is because those are the days when we don't have cans out. I think he doesn't always go down the gutter because he's avoiding people's cans.

Mr. Perillo: We are good in the Villas both days.

Ms. Zaresk: The one time he came through he did a good job. I specifically sent him an email to say thank you.

Mr. Scheerer: We communicated with them to make sure that they are taking their time coming through.

Ms. Zaresk: At least on my street.

Mr. Scheerer: I will check with him on the service dates. I can't guarantee anything. I will follow up with you, Ken, after I talk to them tomorrow.

Mr. Brown: I have seen where he can't get the entire gutter because of cans.

Mr. Scheerer: That makes sense. He has to go around cars, trees and trash cans.

Mr. Brown: Are there any other questions on the check register? Hearing none,

On MOTION by Mr. Soukup seconded by Mr. Perillo with all in favor the Check Register totaling \$151,132.64 was approved.

## 2. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Mr. Showe: These are unaudited and not the final one, because we may get some invoices for September. I think everything else is coming in line with what we discussed.

# 3. Field Manager's Report

Mr. Scheerer: The biggest item to report is that we had a compressor go down in an air conditioner on one of the units, so Frank's Air came out and gave us a brand-new unit. It was a lot more cost efficient to replace the unit and get the five-year warranty on the compressor and one year on parts. That was done last week. We have one unit that was installed in 2015 and one that was just put in last week. We changed the lights out here from the recessed compact fluorescents to LEDs. We will be moving over the spotlights. You can tell the difference between the old lights and new lights. Somebody asked me about the cameras. The cameras are working great. We have great footage and can get a little bit of zoom if we have to, before it gets too distorted. The new camera systems are working well. The wading pool is in good shape. The gates are working. We had some minor repairs to the gates and we will get together to talk about the remotes at Lakeshore. In October, our landscaper is supposed to go out every other week. They have been here every week, despite the clause in their contract because our grass continues to grow on a regular basis. So, kudos to John and REW for not saying, "I'm not coming, it's not in my contract." As it slows down, maybe next week they won't have to come back. We are 99% done with all of the street tree trimming. There are a couple that we identified on drive throughs, specifically at Somerset Place Drive that didn't get trimmed. They are coming back to trim the palm trees. When they do the palm trees they will hit the ones they missed. We had pine straw

and annuals installed. We are waiting on the mulch for the Fitness Center and along Remington Boulevard. We finished up the last five sidewalks in Remington and will start all over and look at what needs to be grinded and replaced. Obviously, Toho is doing their thing. We are in the process of relocating the A/C outlet behind the Lakeshore walls for extension cords, in advance of the holiday season. I met with Terry's Electric and approved a proposal to have outlets put in the center of the wall, so they can run a shorter cord for the lights on the front entrance. There are also two lights out on each side of the Remington sign at Lakeshore. We will replace those on Friday. The carpet in here was cleaned recently. I didn't put it on the report, but the volleyball and basketball court nets will be replaced on Friday.

Mr. Perillo: What is happening with the flagpole?

Mr. Scheerer: We have to extend our permit to a new location, which Chet will be handling. We got a good price. It was \$7,000 for a 30-foot flagpole with a solar light at the top. I'm putting another one in, similar to the one in Leesburg that is slightly cheaper than what we are spending. The difference is that they want ground lighting.

Mr. Perillo: Where are we putting it?

Mr. Scheerer: Right where you and I talked about.

Mr. Perillo: When will we address the one at the other end?

Mr. Scheerer: We will address the one for Partin Settlement Road once we get through the sewer issue. I gave Chet approval to get the permit extended. Hopefully we will get the flagpole up soon.

Mr. Brown: The permit needs to get reviewed again because the site plan changed.

Mr. Scheerer: We have a different site plan. That's all I have, unless there are any questions.

Mr. Brown: For all of you who were like me and thought that Toho Water was dumping sewer down the storm drain, I called Toho and they are not doing that. They are dewatering that hole, because there was ground water in it. The ground water smells just as bad as the sewer.

Mr. Scheerer: You can only imagine.

Mr. Brown: It reeks.

Mr. Perillo: You don't know how much was let out before it went into the ground.

Mr. Brown: They had that going down the storm drain right beside where they were doing the work, but it was not just straight sewage. It was groundwater. They have a filter in front of it to pump it out.

Mr. Scheerer: They had some hay stacked there for a while to help absorb any impurities.

# 4. Security

Mr. Luciano: Since the last meeting, we had 69 tags, three tows, one attempted tow and four repeat offenders. With the construction, the Partin Settlement Road Gate became the E. Lakeshore Boulevard Gate and the E. Lakeshore Boulevard Gate became the Partin Settlement Road Gate. The Partin Settlement Road Gate had 325 pages with 2,200 visitors versus 236 pages with 16,048 visitors at the E. Lakeshore Boulevard Gate. The E. Lakeshore Boulevard Gate is taking over traffic from the Partin Settlement Road Gate.

Ms. Zaresk: We noticed.

Mr. Brown: Everybody comes in that way. Thank you.

#### EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

## Supervisor's Requests

Mr. Brown: Ms. Zaresk?

Ms. Zaresk: I have nothing. Thank you.

Mr. Brown: Thank you. Sal?

Mr. Perillo: Nothing.

Mr. Brown: Mr. Soukup?

Mr. Soukup: Nothing this month.

Mr. Brown: Mr. Thilburg?

Mr. Thilburg: Everything looks good in Remington. They are doing a good job.

Mr. Brown: Yes. Watch the streets tomorrow night because there will be a lot of people on the street trick-or-treating. Tomorrow night will be traffic and pedestrians as always on Halloween, but they have a good time. A lot of people come to this neighborhood to trick-or-treat that aren't even from here. Is there anything else about the tree down in the park?

Mr. Scheerer: Are you talking about the large tree?

Mr. Brown: Yes.

Mr. Scheerer: We are in the process of trying to set up a time to remove the tree. In order to access it, we are going to have to take down some fencing and put down plywood. It's going to look strange once that tree is removed. It's such a beautiful tree. If you don't know, it was struck by lightning several years ago. We had the University of Florida Extension Office out here. We followed the protocols that they gave us for trimming, cleaning and cutting some of the dead material out, in the hopes that the tree would recover, but the tree is not recovering. Before it

becomes too much of a hazard we are going to remove it. We are going to try to pull the stump or stump grind it. Right now, we are going to remove it just to make it safe.

Mr. Perillo: Pulling the stump is going to be a monstrous job.

Mr. Scheerer: Yes. JRs Tree Service gave me a fair price to do that. It's something that we can absorb into the budget. Jason is aware of it. I know that I mentioned it a couple of times at Board Meetings, but it's time to remove it. It will probably be sometime next month.

Mr. Perillo: You said that they are going to do the palm trees. How many times a year do they do them? Is it once a year?

Mr. Scheerer: No. The palm trees are trimmed three times a year under this contract.

Mr. Perillo: We get ours done just before the summer hurricane season starts again.

Mr. Scheerer: Yes. We typically do the pine straw mulching and the palm tree pruning in advance of the holidays. That is our last trim of the year.

Mr. Brown: That's all I have.

## NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

Chairman/Vice Chairman

Mr. Brown adjourned the meeting.

Secretary/Assistant Secretary