

MINUTES OF MEETING
REMINGTON
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Remington Community Development District was held on Tuesday, June 26, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. at the Remington Recreation Center, 2651 Remington Boulevard, Kissimmee, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Brian (Ken) Brown	Chairman
Sal Perillo	Assistant Secretary
Pam Zaresk	Assistant Secretary
Carl Thilburg	Assistant Secretary
Kenneth Soukup	Supervisor

Also present were:

Jason Showe	District Manager
Leigh Ann Buzyniski	District Counsel
Alan Scheerer	Field Manager
Shawn Hindle	HWA
Eric LaSalle	UPS
Larry Hurley	Resident
Paula Perillo	Resident
Barbara Kirk	Resident

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Brown called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. and Mr. Showe called the roll. All Supervisors were present.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Modifications to Agenda

Mr. Showe: I do not have any.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

Mr. Brown: If you have any comments, please raise your hand, provide your name and address and you will have three minutes.

Mr. Hurley: Larry Hurley, 2616 Keswick Court. I mentioned at the last meeting that we had lights out on Remington Boulevard. They kept going on and off. I put ribbons on the light pole and wrote on them that the lights go on and off, but I didn't get a chance to report them to OUC before I went on vacation earlier this month. This last week, OUC saw my ribbons and somebody fixed them. In the last week, they have been on when I go for a walk. I just wanted to share that. The other issue is the towing signs. I wanted to make clear that under no circumstances, do I foresee us ever towing off of the grass or the sidewalk. Our legal advice months ago was in that direction, so towing is out of the question, but fining is a possibility. I asked them to provide a proposal for a sign that says, "*Violators Will Be Towed Without Warning.*" The Remington master HOA documents say, "*No parking is allowed on the grass or on the sidewalk. Owners may be fined.*" It may lead to a fine or not, because we have to catch the violators first and report them. Will this sign be okay or do you have any suggestions for changing the wording? Beyond that, I need to understand what, if any, posts we can put the signs on. Can we put them on a post that the CDD owns or do we need our own posts? What we proposed initially was to put one at the entrance of the subdivision off of Remington Boulevard and Knightsbridge Boulevard, but some Board Members voiced the opinion that they would like to know that the CDD would allow us to put one at the beginning of every street in Remington. However, once they see the cost, it won't happen, but I need to have a decision from the CDD as to whether or not that would be acceptable if we decided to go that far. Anytime you go down the road, there would be a sign at every fork. Does the sign look acceptable?

Mr. Brown: If you were going to go in that direction, I would just put that in the newsletter, because all you are saying is that you are going to enforce, so why do you need a sign saying that you are going to enforce your rules?

Mr. Hurley: We can do that too.

Mr. Brown: I would be okay with a couple of signs, but I wouldn't want one at every fork of the road.

Ms. Zaresk: I don't have any problems with it. I guess our Attorney should advise us.

Ms. Buzyniski: I can write it down.

Mr. Showe: With that language, it's clear that it's not for the CDD.

Ms. Zaresk: Exactly.

Mr. Hurley: Can we say that its certified by the HOA?

Mr. Showe: Yes, that would make it clearer.

Mr. Hurley: It says that it's in the HOA documents.

Mr. Showe: I think that was the suggestion of the Board.

Ms. Buzyniski: To differentiate this, it's not HOA property, but CDD property, and the fine would be in accordance with the HOA documents. It would be coming from the HOA.

Mr. Perillo: Does anyone see a problem?

Mr. Hurley: We could say, "*There's no parking on the grass. You can't park anywhere but in the driveway and in the garage.*" This would cover if they park on a front lawn. Some of the rental houses have tenants that park on the front lawn, not just the easement between the sidewalk and the streets. So, if these words are okay, I will take it back to the HOA Board and see what they want to do. Are you saying that we can put them at the entrance of each subdivision? You didn't want to see them at every intersection.

Mr. Scheerer: We have them at every entrance to every subdivision.

Mr. Brown: They want to put one at every fork. We don't do that.

Mr. Scheerer: The towing requirement that we have with the towing company, is to have them at the entrance of each community. We replaced the metal signs with the green posts and our signs are attached to that green post.

Mr. Perillo: Where is that sign on the pad going?

Mr. Hurley: I'm asking for permission to put it at the entrance of each subdivision from Remington Boulevard to Knightsbridge Boulevard.

Mr. Perillo: Is that verbiage on the sign?

Mr. Hurley: This would be the appropriate verbiage.

Mr. Perillo: What if people are upset at us for allowing them to put these signs all over the place?

Mr. Brown: I don't think they are going to be. We are just putting them on the same pole.

Mr. Showe: I think the signs are clear in that they are enforcing their rules. I think the issue we had before was the request was confusing as to whether the CDD was towing or the HOA was.

Mr. Brown: It has more clarification.

Mr. Perillo: So it would be only at the subdivision entrances?

Mr. Showe: That's his request.

Mr. Perillo: Not on the main entrances?

Mr. Brown: Correct.

Mr. Hurley: What we are asking for is the what you already approved for Windsor Park.

Mr. Brown: Yes.

Ms. Buzyniski: We had a User Agreement with Windsor Park and Gleneagles, which I don't have with me.

Mr. Hurley: We can put a sign on posts that already have signs on them.

Mr. Brown: Do we have wood posts or metal?

Mr. Scheerer: They are PVC. If they want to put their sign underneath our sign, that's up to the Board.

Mr. Brown: The only thing I would ask is that you keep it the same theme and size as ours, so they match.

Mr. Hurley: The same color scheme?

Mr. Brown: Yes.

Mr. Scheerer: I will defer to the Board and the attorneys.

Mr. Hurley: Green seems to be the color in here, anyway. We could have a green and white sign with a border around it. I tried to use different fonts to get it to stand out.

Mr. Perillo: What if you need additional posts?

Mr. Scheerer: The way that the CDD towing policy was set up, we have a post that's right on Knightsbridge Boulevard, as you enter Knightsbridge Boulevard.

Mr. Perillo: In case he needs an additional sign, what does he do?

Mr. Brown: He doesn't put one up.

Mr. Perillo: He is going to have to match all of the other posts.

Mr. Brown: We are just telling him to put them on existing posts. If one is not existing, it won't go up.

Mr. Perillo: Okay.

Mr. Scheerer: That will cover the subdivisions.

Mr. Hurley: Is there a post at each subdivision already?

Mr. Showe: Yes.

Mr. Hurley: The key word is “*May*.” Other words that we had played with was “*Can*” or “*Will*.” We can’t be sure that it will. “The word “*Can*” seems like a longshot. When I get the first test sample sign made up, I will bring it for you to see. Thank you.

Mr. Showe: If you get it in advance of the next meeting, if you email it to me, I will circulate it to the Board. That way they can see what it will look like before the meeting.

Mr. Brown: Is there anyone else?

Mr. Showler: Phil Showler, 2512 Balmoral Drive. I’m new to the area, so if this is the wrong group to address this, just let me know. Is there a way to put a speed bump on Southampton Drive? It is a very long stretch of road that loops around. I live at the intersection of Balmoral Drive and Southampton Drive. From Knightsbridge Boulevard, when you turn on Southampton Drive, to the curve, there’s a beautiful stretch for people to start speeding at ridiculous rates. They come around that corner very fast. There is no speed limit sign anywhere on that road. In fact, there are no speed limit signs anywhere except on Remington Boulevard.

Mr. Scheerer: I believe there’s one at the entrance to each community.

Mr. Showler: Not into Southampton.

Mr. Scheerer: I will take a look at that.

Mr. Showler: A speed bump is probably the only thing that would alter their speed. At the end of Southampton Drive, there are several families with young children. In the three months that I have lived there, I have seen a number of occasions where there are near misses. They are not going 30 miles-per-hour.

Mr. Brown: We put a couple somewhere other than the main road. What road was it on?

Mr. Showe: Only on Remington Boulevard and Knightsbridge Boulevard.

Mr. Scheerer: Hanson, Walter did an assessment. I think there was an issue with the interior roads, and they put speed tables in there, in order to deal with the speed enforcement issues. Those were relegated to Remington Boulevard. We had the Easy Rider rubber bumps on Knightsbridge Boulevard, until such time as the pavement was completed, and then Hanson, Walter was tasked with creating a work order to include those on Knightsbridge Boulevard, but there was never any consideration for the community’s interior.

Mr. Showe: We can have the District Engineer take a look at it.

Mr. Scheerer: Hanson, Walter should be able to confirm that we are in compliance with DOT’s specs and regulations.

Mr. Showler: It's a real problem too, because the street light that's on the corner is blocked by a tree, so it's so dark at night.

Mr. Brown: I have not seen a street light blocked by a tree in this neighborhood. Is there anyone else? If not, we will proceed.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Minutes of the May 22, 2018 Meeting

Mr. Brown: We have the minutes of the May 22, 2018 meeting. Does anyone have any changes, additions or deletions? If not, we need a motion to approve.

On MOTION by Ms. Zaresk, seconded by Mr. Soukup, with all in favor, the Minutes of the May 22, 2018 Meeting, were approved, as presented.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Review and Acceptance of Draft Fiscal Year 2017 Audit Report

Mr. Showe: We presented the draft audit in your agenda package. If you look at Pages 32 and 33, the "Letter to Management," we will note that they found no issue, no prior year findings and it's a clean audit. Unless there are any questions, it would be our recommendation to approve the audit and authorize it to be transmitted to the State of Florida for compliance purposes.

On MOTION by Mr. Perillo, seconded by Ms. Zaresk, with all in favor, accepting the Fiscal Year 2017 Audit Report and transmitting to the final report to the State of Florida, was approved.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

Ms. Buzyniski: After the last meeting, as directed by the Board, I sent a letter to the golf course owner, relative to the installation of the gate. After that, I received a call from Jimmy of Down to Earth. I invited him to the meeting, because he was talking about some technical items, so I gave him Jason and Alan's phone number. I don't see him here, but he did respond to the letter. Do you know Jimmy?

Mr. Thilburg: He's managing both golf courses. I believe that you can get his number from the golf course.

Mr. Perillo: Is that Hampton Management?

Ms. Buzyniski: He said that he's with Down to Earth Golf Management. That's who contacted me.

Mr. Showe: We have some contacts with that company.

Ms. Buzyniski: The gist of what he was saying to me, was that the problem they have with the gate, is that it was there for insurance purposes and it had to be removed to provide access to a number of vendors, which we discussed before. There are ways to deal with that.

Mr. Perillo: I'm totally confused. The gate is closed. There's nobody in there. The only people that need to be in there are Emergency Management Staff.

Mr. Scheerer: There's a locking system called a Knox Box. It's for emergency services only. Golf would have theirs because they have to get to their stuff. The Police, Fire Rescue and EMS requires a Knox Box or Knox Lock, which is a universal key. It's a lot of money to get one, but every emergency services have a key, so if there's a problem, they have to get there. You provide them with a key, they can get out, open the gate and go wherever they are going back there. That's the only thing that I was recommending. We have it at several Water Management Facilities. It's something that you can buy online and will allow emergency services access.

Mr. Perillo: I understand all of that, but I guess we have to tell them that this is what is set up and may cost them more, but this is what they have to live with. That's the agreement that was made. They took the gate down and now they have to put it back up. Maybe they have the old gate. They had a couple of robberies and started locking it again, before the owner came in. Maybe we have to let them know the agreement that was made back in 1994, which it hasn't changed. They may have to pay a little more insurance money, but it has to be put back in, because they hadn't even contacted anybody. You can remind them that this Board is here to protect the residents and they took that protection away, not only in the Club Villas, but if you go around the back, you get into two more neighborhoods.

Ms. Buzyniski: I was hopeful that he was going to follow through and contact Jason or Alan.

Mr. Hurley: Or come to me.

Ms. Buzyniski: I told him when the next meeting was and invited him to attend. I will call him.

Mr. Showe: Would you prefer that Alan try to reach him?

Ms. Buzyniski: My hope was that you would, because I don't know what else to tell him.

Mr. Scheerer: We deal a lot with Down to Earth. I just sent a text message to one of the managers to see if he had the name and number of the individual who manages the golf course.

Mr. Brown: Do they handle other things other than golf courses?

Mr. Scheerer: They are a landscape provider.

Mr. Showe: Let us contact them and then we will see what they come back with.

Ms. Buzyniski: Otherwise, that's all I have.

B. Engineer

1. Stormwater Repair

Mr. Brown: Mr. Hindle?

Mr. Hindle: We received prices from All Terrain and Camcor for the mitered end.

Mr. Scheerer: On Scarborough Court, by Joe's house, there's a storm inlet that has a blockage in the pipe. We asked All Terrain to come out and take a look at that pipe for us. They came back with a bid, which Jason distributed.

Mr. Showe: It was \$48,000.

Mr. Scheerer: It was a very detailed bid. There is dewatering that needs to occur with that, so we asked Mark to get a second quote to do the work, because part of the mitered end section has broken off.

Mr. Hindle: The mitered end had slipped and is blocking the pipe, so the water can't properly flow through it. All Terrain wants to dewater the pond, basically drain it completely, so it's easier to work in there, which is why their price is more, whereas Camcor believes that they can make a coffer dam around the area where they need to work. Basically, it's the same bid price, without dewatering.

Mr. Scheerer: There's an issue with a tree that's on the resident's property that we would have to remove. If it's in the stormwater easement, I'm sure that we have the authority to remove the tree. We would try to be a good neighbor by saying, "*Look, this is what we are up against.*" We need to get this resolved. Every time it is raining, it is holding water in the road. There's no

other way to deal with it. That's why we got the District Engineer involved. It's not one of the easier inlet repairs that we've had done in the past.

Mr. Hindle: Between those lot lines, the grading has gotten eroded. While they are doing work on that pipe, they are going to re-grade that slope.

Mr. Perillo: Would both options resolve the problem?

Mr. Hindle: Yes. The only difference is how they keep the water out while they are working. Camcor believes that they can get away with the dam. All Terrain didn't want to deal with the dam. They didn't think they would be able to do it and that's why they chose to dewater. We don't work with dams, so we're not sure which route to take. We assume if the contractor is saying that it is going to work, it's going to work. Those are the differences.

Mr. Scheerer: You don't want them to come back and say, "Oops."

Ms. Zaresk: My only concern is that it doesn't work.

Mr. Perillo: We should have something in the contract in case it doesn't work.

Mr. Hindle: Exactly.

Mr. Showe: Whatever proposal you approve, we would have District Counsel draft up a Small Project Agreement that lays out all of the warranties. Do you have experience with any of these vendors?

Mr. Hindle: We worked with both, but worked with All Terrain a lot more.

Mr. Scheerer: All Terrain did a lot of work in here in the past.

Mr. Hindle: We worked with Camcor before, but not as often. All Terrain is the main construction company we dealt with.

Mr. Scheerer: They want to lower the water in one pond and pump it into another one.

Ms. Zaresk: I get that, but the difference between the two proposals, is \$14,000, so if by chance the dam doesn't work, we are looking at spending another \$14,000.

Mr. Scheerer: Exactly. There is always the possibility for a Change Order if what they are recommending doesn't work.

Ms. Zaresk: Right, but what I'm saying is it looks like they are same except for the dam. If that doesn't work, we have to pay \$14,000, which is what this bid was originally anyway. Right?

Mr. Showe: Yes, essentially.

Ms. Zaresk: Okay.

Mr. Thilburg: So if they build the dam, the price for dewatering and building a dam is the same?

Mr. Hindle: Its less. That's why Camcor's bid is \$11,000 less. Their price for dewatering and the dam is \$3,000, versus \$14,000 for All Terrain to reduce the pond levels and pump the water into a different pond.

Mr. Perillo: When the contract is completed, can we wait two months to see if it works? If we pay him right away and we have a problem, we have a mess.

Mr. Showe: I think that's part of what we would include in the Small Project Agreement. We would work with the vendor on a payment schedule, to pay a certain percentage upfront.

Mr. Scheerer: Upon engineering's blessing, we would hold a retainer.

Mr. Brown: We held a bond for people before, haven't we?

Mr. Showe: We have. You would have to increase your price to cover that bond.

Ms. Zaresk: I'm going to recommend that we go with Camcor.

Mr. Showe: One recommendation that I was looking at, before you make a motion, is to approve a not-to-exceed of \$45,000. That way, if they get out there and we need to make some other changes, we have the authority internally to make those changes, and it would still be less than the All Terrain proposal.

Mr. Scheerer: We won't tell them that.

Mr. Showe: We are not going to tell them that. Obviously, we will approve an agreement with this amount, but with any of these kinds of projects, if you only approve this amount and they need to go \$5 over, we have no authority to tell them to do that.

Mr. Hindle: The only thing that I want to add to that, is neither bid includes tree removal, because it wasn't agreed upon.

Mr. Showe: That's another reason I was looking at a not-to-exceed of \$45,000. That way we would have room.

Mr. Scheerer: We would go to our landscape company to facilitate that.

Mr. Showe: If there's any damage to the irrigation system, along the way, we would want to repair that.

Mr. Perillo: What is engineering's opinion?

Mr. Hindle: It's safer to go with All Terrain to reduce the pond volume. It's easier to work in, but there's the big price. If Camcor gets it done with the dam, then you save \$11,000. If it

doesn't work, you are basically staying the same, regardless. We have a good relationship with All Terrain and know that they do good work, whereas we don't have a relationship with Camcor and they haven't done much work here. Obviously, the price difference is major.

Mr. Perillo: Evidently, you worked with All Terrain and you have a reputation with us and other people that you can check out. It must be a factor.

Mr. Scheerer: We use All Terrain in a lot of other Districts, not just Remington.

Mr. Hindle: We talked to All Terrain about reducing their price by doing the dam, and they said that they would rather stick with the dewatering.

Mr. Scheerer: If you go that way, just remember, you are going to have one pond that is being drawn down. It's not going to hurt the pond. We can answer questions from residents on that. Its easily explained.

Ms. Zaresk: I realize that you have been dealing with All Terrain more, but you had a relationship with Camcor and haven't had any problems or any issues. So, if you have no objection to using Camcor, I'm going to go with that motion, with the not-to-exceed amount of \$45,000.

Mr. Showe: That gives us room to do the landscape work. If there's anything else that comes up while they are onsite, and we need to make some adjustments, we have the flexibility to do that. With this motion, you are authorizing District Counsel to draft a Small Project Agreement.

Mr. Brown: I grew up in Tennessee and have seen a fair number of dams.

On MOTION by Ms. Zaresk, seconded by Mr. Brown, with all in favor, the Camcor Proposal for stormwater repairs was approved in a not-to-exceed amount of \$45,000, and District Counsel was authorized to draft a Small Project Agreement.

Mr. Showe: We will work as quickly as we can with District Counsel. We will let Camcor know as well, so they can get queued up.

Mr. Brown: Which pond is it? Is it the one right behind Joe's property?

Mr. Scheerer: It's a couple of houses down from Joe.

Mr. Brown: It's on the golf course.

Mr. Scheerer: Its either on the 17th or 18th hole.

Mr. Brown: It's not that huge one to the north of there?

Mr. Scheerer: I will show you where it is. When you leave here, turn left and you will see the pond.

Mr. Brown: I know which one you are talking about.

Mr. Scheerer: It's on the 17th hole.

Mr. Brown: The one that I'm thinking of is the huge one that is on the other side of their neighborhood.

C. District Manager's Report

1. Approval of Check Register

Mr. Showe: We have checks from the General Fund, Checks #5656 through #5672, for \$54,088.07. We have Check #46 from the Capital Projects Fund for \$17,012.50 and May Payroll for \$734.10, for a total of \$71,834.67. Alan and I can answer any questions or comments.

Mr. Brown: Are there any questions?

Mr. Perillo: I have a question. Why did we install sign posts for \$4,865?

Mr. Scheerer: Those are the posts that we changed out for the decorative sign posts that we were asked to do for the towing signs throughout the community.

Mr. Perillo: I was just wondering.

Mr. Scheerer: The other item was the installation of the trash cans. Two more are coming.

Mr. Thilburg: On the "No Parking" signs, it says that Larry replaced one.

Mr. Scheerer: Yes, at Knightsbridge Boulevard. The Sheriff's Department said that we had problems with people staging on Knightsbridge Boulevard. Someone took out one of the posts, so we had to dig out the old post, install a new post and replace the sign.

Mr. Thilburg: That was \$625?

Mr. Scheerer: I think it was more than that.

Mr. Brown: That is the one where half of it was sticking out of the ground for a while.

Mr. Scheerer: Yes.

On MOTION by Mr. Thilburg, seconded by Ms. Zaresk, with all in favor, the Check Register and May Payroll totaling \$71,834.67, was approved.

2. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Mr. Showe: No action is required by the Board. It was included for your information. All line items are falling in line with what we expect. Your assessments are about 99% collected.

3. Field Manager's Report

Mr. Scheerer: The Amenity Center is in good shape. The filters were changed and the cameras are working. We made some repairs to the leg extension machine in the Fitness Center. We are waiting on some additional parts for that, as well as a new strap for the bicycle. The A/C and lights were checked. We received our new Pool Operating Permits, which I will submit today. We are still waiting on a quote to replace the cameras at the Partin Settlement Road Gate. I know that we put money in the budget next year to do the cameras. I think we will have to do those this year, as soon as we receive the quote, and next year we can work on the other gates and the Clubhouse as well. All of the ponds are in good shape. We continue to work with REW. They will be doing the street tree trimming starting next week. It will probably take a couple of weeks to trim all trees in the community. I received an email from Jimmy Willis with OUC. They were out here completing the repairs that Larry requested, and asked us if we could do additional trimming around the lights. We told them that we would be happy to do that for them. All of their guys have the bucket trucks here. We are still working on sidewalks in the community and on the depression at 101 Thornbury Drive. All Terrain is doing that work for us. We talked about the drainage issue on Scarborough Court. There was an email from the Gleneagles HOA. Somebody backed into a stop sign post in Gleneagles, so we had it replaced. We picked up the permit for the flagpole. Unfortunately, it doesn't look like we are going to make the July 4 installation date. The permit was issued last week and we are dropping off the Notice of Commencement tomorrow, so we can get that work started. We already removed the bushes in that location. We are still waiting on locates. Once the locates come in, we should have everything we need to get moving. We ordered two additional trash cans. When they come in, we will put them in the locations that were mentioned at the last meeting. We ordered the revised sign for the Clubhouse pool hours. We discussed the "*No Parking*" sign on Knightsbridge Boulevard.

Mr. Perillo: When they put the sign up here on Partin Settlement Road, is there any problem if you put in the flagpole with the traffic lights?

Mr. Scheerer: We are not putting the flagpole on Partin Settlement Road. The flagpole is going in at E. Lakeshore Boulevard.

Mr. Perillo: I thought that we were going to put it in at both ends?

Mr. Brown: We are at some point.

Mr. Scheerer: Its very close to where the Board suggested placing that flagpole. We will see if there are any modifications to that after the signalization happens. If we have the ability to put one there, we will.

Mr. Brown: They may move those lines and put them underground. It might actually solve the problem.

Mr. Perillo: I would think that they would put them underground. Most everything in this neighborhood is underground.

Ms. Zaresk: Do you have any idea what caused the light to be totally destroyed? The globe was in pieces. The pole didn't look like it had been hit.

Mr. Scheerer: A globe may have been loose and a good wind came through with one of the rains, caused it to fall.

Ms. Zaresk: I was just wondering if you heard anything.

Mr. Showe: No.

Mr. Scheerer: Nobody sent me an email.

Ms. Zaresk: I reported it.

Mr. Scheerer: So you know, OUC usually has lights on these roads that are on a switch. So, a bank of lights will all come on when they flip their switch. They probably saw Larry's markings and fixed them. I have no insight on what happened.

Ms. Zaresk: I was just curious. Thank you.

Mr. Brown: I don't know if it's the speed hump closest to the commercial building or the one down from it, but one of those is getting a hole in it.

Mr. Scheerer: We will have the District Engineer look at it while he's here.

Mr. Brown: On Saturday or Sunday, I saw one of our trash cans laying down at the end of Knightsbridge Boulevard. I don't know if we are missing one, or if there's one there normally and it just fell over.

Mr. Scheerer: I haven't looked at trash cans since last week. There weren't any issues last Friday. We will take a look at it.

Mr. Brown: It's right where you go into Owenshire Circle.

Mr. Scheerer: I know where it's supposed to be. I will go by and take a look at it.

Mr. Brown: I didn't know if it had fallen over.

4. Security

Mr. LaSalle: There were four weeks, from the last meeting, instead of three weeks, so the numbers increased, slightly. The Partin Settlement Road Gate had 27,472 visitors, versus 11,968 at the E. Lakeshore Boulevard Gate, there were 2 tows, 4 attempted tows and 9 repeat offenders.

Mr. Brown: Is the E. Lakeshore Boulevard Gate seeing any more traffic with the closure down here or is it because everyone is cutting through to get to the Tax Collector ramp?

Mr. LaSalle: Just a little.

Mr. Scheerer: If they close off that Tax Collector ramp, it's going to be a relief. There's no easy way to get to E. Lakeshore Boulevard, if you are coming from west to east, unless you turn down Simpson Road and come in the back way.

Mr. Perillo: Was there anything wrong with the exit gate at E. Lakeshore Boulevard? I went out one afternoon at 2:00 p.m. and the gates were down.

Mr. Scheerer: Not that I'm aware of. They should've worked.

Mr. Brown: Did they go up?

Mr. Perillo: They did go up, but during the day they are usually open.

Mr. Scheerer: I don't want to say anything, but it's a possibility that the switches weren't left up, once Security left.

Mr. Perillo: That's what I figured. That's why I asked.

Mr. Showe: That's all we have for District Manager.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests

Mr. Brown: Ms. Zaresk?

Ms. Zaresk: None, thank you.

Mr. Brown: Sal?

Mr. Perillo: Nothing.

Mr. Soukup: Nothing for me.

Mr. Thilburg: I don't have anything.

Mr. Brown: The only thing that I have is that the place looks good, as usual, especially with the amount of rain that we've had in the past month.

Mr. Scheerer: I will be out of the office next week, but I will have my phone.

Mr. Brown: I want to wish everybody a Happy Fourth of July and hope that everyone stays safe.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

Mr. Brown adjourned the meeting.


Secretary/Assistant Secretary


Chairman/Vice Chairman